Guidelines for Publishing in the South African Journal of Occupational Therapy

The South African Journal of Occupational Therapy (SAJOT) accepts scientific articles, scientific letters, scoping/systematic/integrative reviews, commentaries, opinion pieces and book reviews for publication.

The language of the Journal is South African English (abstracts may be provided in Afrikaans or the Vernacular as well as in English).

All articles that are published in SAJOT may be found at www.sajot.co.za, www.sceilo.org.za, EBSCOHost, Google Scholar or OTDBASE. In addition, articles are preserved via Portico which is a digital preservation service provided by ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organisation with a mission to help the academic community use digital technologies to preserve the scholarly record and to advance research and teaching in sustainable ways.

POST-ACCEPTANCE PUBLICATION FEES:

In line with the policy of most Open Access Journals, all submissions to the SAJOT are subject to a publication fee of R5000-00 (Approx US$350) per article once the submission is accepted for publication.

This post-acceptance publication fee will be applied to cover both retrospective and prospective processes involved in peer-reviewed articles, including:

- Peer-review management
- Manuscript preparation (e.g., copy editing)
- Journal production (e.g., layout)
- Open-access online publication and hosting
- Indexing (e.g., PubMed)
- Archiving

The fee is waivered in the following instances:

- If at least one of the listed authors of the article is a member of the Occupational Therapy Association of Southern Africa (OTASA). (Proof of OTASA membership will be verified by the OT office prior to publication.)
- If an application for exemption is submitted and subsequently granted by the OTASA Chairman of the Publications Committee (see details below).
- If the submission is either a book-review, commentary or opinion piece.

Applications for exemption from the publication fee can be made to the chair of the publications committee Helen Buchanan (helen.buchanan@uct.ac.za)

Those authors eligible for payment of fees will receive an invoice from the OTASA office and payment will need to be made to OTASA within the stipulated time.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION

The following are included in these instructions:

1. General guidelines and instructions – procedure and presentation
2. Summary of Guidelines for authors
   2.1 Guidelines for authors of scientific articles
   2.2 Guidelines for authors of scientific letters
   2.3 Guidelines for publishing a literature, scoping or systematic review
   2.4 Guidelines for writing an opinion piece
1. GENERAL GUIDELINES & INSTRUCTIONS – PROCEDURE AND PRESENTATION (APPLICABLE TO ALL SUBMISSIONS)

- Manuscripts must be submitted via the SAJOT website (www.sajot.co.za); the author must retain a copy of the script.
- New authors must submit the title page of the submission to the editor at sajot@mweb.co.za. A username and password will then be provided to enable the author to complete the online article submission. (See Guide to submitting an article online). Users already registered as authors do not need to go through a repeat of the registration process but simply use their existing username and password.
- Users who are having problems with the username and password should contact the Editor-in-Chief at sajot@mweb.co.za.
- Please insert a note in the ‘footer’ that gives the title of the article and the date at each submission. This is important for tracking purposes and will ensure that the correct version of the script is used for publication. This footnote will be removed at publication.
- Submission of the following separate files needs to be done.
  - The Manuscript (scientific article, scientific letter, scoping/systematic review, commentary, opinion piece) including the illustrations, tables, graphs.
  - 4 Supplementary files
    - A Title page
    - 15 multiple choice questions (MCQ’s) (not for book reviews)
    - Contribution of Authors
    - Plagiarism Check report / certificate

**The Manuscript**

1. The manuscript needs to be uploaded first. This should include the abstract if applicable and all the illustrations, tables, graphs should be included in the correct place within the manuscript.
2. Please include the *ethics clearance number* if applicable to the study. The ethical clearance certificate must be available if requested. The ethical clearance number must also be recorded in the article when it is submitted for publication as part of the methodology section of the article.

**Supplementary files**

1. Title Page
Each Manuscript must include a separate Title Page loaded as a Supplementary File. When submitting the article do not include any author information on the article itself

This page must include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The title of the article</th>
<th>full name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For each author</td>
<td>all academic degrees and where these were obtained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present post held</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>status as undergrad student or postgrad student at time of research and affiliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>complete address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>telephone number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e-mail address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORCID number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPCSA number and OTASA membership number if applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethical clearance number – Institution where obtained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acknowledgments, sources of funding and conflict of interests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Names and email address of suggested reviewers
As a special request the author is asked to provide the names, place of work, and email contact details of two people who they believe have the skills and expertise to review the article

The ORCID number must also be recorded in the relevant place on the SAJOT web site when the article is being submitted using http:// and not https:// on the electronic submission page. To obtain an ORCID reference number and to learn about the benefits of being registered, go to: www.orcid.org. The orchid number will be included as part of the metadata of your article when it goes to publication. Please check that the ORCID number resolves to the authors name.

2. Contribution of the authors

Contribution of the author in the manuscript/research process needs to be described in a separate document to be uploaded as a supplementary file. This is a requirement of SciELO.

3. The Multiple Choice questions (MCQs)

3.1 For CPD purposes 15 multiple choice questions with the correct answer clearly marked should be set.

3.2 Criteria for the setting of the MCQ content as prescribed by the HPCSA are that they should be

- clear and concise, reflecting understanding
- each MCQ question must contain a minimum of three options, and may be
  - multiple choice stem with alternate answers,
  - single-correct answer or single-best formats
  - matching
  - true/ false – maximum 20%;
- should contain commercial product promotion and/ or satire

4. Plagiarism Check report / certificate
‘Cross Ref’ or ‘Turn-it-in’ or ‘Authenticate’ certificate must be attached with an acceptable level (usually 15% or less depending on the use of terminology in the manuscript)

**Referencing**

Vancouver style referencing is used and each reference in the text must be indicated by a number in the text. This number should be inserted in superscript without brackets e.g.12. A reference list should be provided on a separate numbered page following the article text. References must be cited in the order that they appear in the text. Please check references from predatory journals are avoided. Predatory journals can be checked at [https://predatoryjournals.com/journals/](https://predatoryjournals.com/journals/) or [https://beallslist.net/](https://beallslist.net/).

ALL references must be linked through CrossRef ie each reference must show its DOI number (if it has one). To find the DOI number go to [https://search.crossref.org/](https://search.crossref.org/). A window that asks to copy and paste or type in the title of the article or book and search. The full information on the article will appear. Please note that the DOI reference must be spaced so that it falls on one line and is not split between two lines. See examples of referencing below:

In Mendeley - the **Council of Science Editors – Citation Sequence (numeric)** provides the correct referencing. DOI numbers must be entered with the [http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/) prefix into the Mendeley programme and these need to be linked in the reference list using CNTL K in the reference list. All date of access, URLs and publishers must be removed from Mendeley reference programme for journal articles.

In Endnote - use **Council of Science Editors (CSE) or PLOS** (you will need to change the style to remove brackets and superscript numbers – [https://libguides.library.cqu.edu.au/c.php?g=760903&p=6317474](https://libguides.library.cqu.edu.au/c.php?g=760903&p=6317474)) to provide the correct referencing. In endnote DOI numbers will also have to be added to references in Endnote with a [http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/) prefix.

**Examples of referencing**

**Journal article**

**Format:** Author. Article title. Journal. Year; Volume (No): Page numbers. DOI number


**Book**

**Format:** Author(s). Book title. Edition. City: Publisher; Year. DOI if one is available


**Chapter (Section) in a Book**

**Format:** Author(s). Chapter title. Book title. Editor. City: publisher; Date/Year published: page numbers. DOI number

General Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Abstract (words)</th>
<th>Pages</th>
<th>Tables and figures</th>
<th>Words (without tables and references)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Articles</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>±16-19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5000-7000</td>
<td>Max 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Letters</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>±5-8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1400-2500</td>
<td>Max 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrative, Scoping or Systematic Review</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>±16-19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5000-7000</td>
<td>Max 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opinion Piece</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>±5-8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1500-2000</td>
<td>Max 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commentary</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>±5-8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1500-2000</td>
<td>Max 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Reviews</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manuscripts must be clearly typed in **MS Word 1.5 spacing with a legible font (Arial, size 11 is preferable)**. Set English (South Africa) as the default language. Occupational therapy and occupational therapists should not be capitalised or abbreviated.

If quoting from a reference the following format must be used: Gibson\(^2\) stated that “Occupational therapy is an important service for the rehabilitation of persons suffering from HIV/AIDS”. where 2 is the reference number and 30 is the page number on which the quote appears. All quotes from literature must be in quotation marks “ “. Quotes from participants in qualitative research should be in quotation marks and italics.

**Tables** should have the heading at the top of the table and labelled with Roman letters e.g. Table II.

**Figures** should be labelled at the bottom of the figure with Arabic numbers e.g. Figure 2.

Tables and figures (which may include graphs) **should not be scanned** but formatted and included in place in the manuscript. Figures should be clear to the reader when photocopied.

**Figures which consist of** illustrations, diagrams or photographs may be of any size. They must be very sharp, taken close-up, and photographs should have a lightish overall tone and without dark backgrounds. If the photograph, diagram and illustrations photocopy well, they will print well. Please check this before you send the manuscript.
The following web sites may be helpful for authors to consult either during the research process or during the write up process:

1. Equator Network ([http://www.equator-network.org/](http://www.equator-network.org/)), a database library that allows you to find and use reporting guidelines for different study designs. Provides a decision tree and examples that assist you with choosing the most appropriate reporting guideline for your study.

2. Typeset ([https://www.typeset.io/](https://www.typeset.io/)), an online research communication platform that autoformats documents and helps ensure they are 100% compliant with journal submission guidelines.

3. Authoraid ([http://www.authoraid.info/en/](http://www.authoraid.info/en/)), a free global network that provides online mentoring, collaboration, and support for researchers in low and middle-income countries.


**Review of submissions**

All manuscripts undergo an anonymous double blind peer review process. The reviewers are required to comment on the scientific worth of the article and its suitability for publication in SAJOT. (To ensure a blind review see section below). The comments are returned to the authors by the editor with a directive for further action required. Articles may be accepted without change, changes may be requested or the article may be rejected.

**Editing**

Please note that the article will be checked by the Editor and the English Language editor and when necessary, the author(s) before going to print.

**Intellectual Property and Copyright**

The author retains intellectual property rights over original material, in keeping with South African IP legislation and the policy of the employing body/training institution where relevant. SAJOT adheres to Creative Commons licensing as follows:

All work is published under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Non Commercial International Creative Commons (CC-BY-NC – ND 4.0) License. Under this license, authors agree to make articles available to users, without permission or fees, for any lawful, non-commercial purpose. Users may read, copy, or re-use published content provided that the author and original place of publication are properly cited. See [http://userguide.sajot.co.za/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/FINALPublication-Ethics-Practice-comments.pdf](http://userguide.sajot.co.za/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/FINALPublication-Ethics-Practice-comments.pdf)

**Checking the Manuscript before Submission**

Confirmation that the following items have been attended to will be required as part of the submission process.

- The submission has not been previously published, nor has it been before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in Comments to the Editor).
- The submission file is in Microsoft Word file format.
● All references have been checked to see that they comply with the requirements (see References above).
● The text is Arial 11, 1.5 spaced; employs italics, rather than underlining (except with URL addresses); and all figures tables have been placed in the text.
● The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined above
● The instructions for Ensuring a Blind Review have been followed.
● A colleague has read the article to provide objective peer input, inconsistencies, spelling and grammar in addition to running a spell-check with English, South Africa as the default setting. Authors for whom English is a second language should have their article edited by a professional English-language editor or editing service. During the review process, articles may be returned to the author to arrange such a service, if improvements to language and clarity are required.
● 15 Multiple Choice Questions (MCQs) based on the article content are prepared in the supplementary file section of the article submission. In addition, it is advisable to email these to the managing editor at sajot@mweb.co.za. NB The article will not be sent for review until these have been received or posted on the web site.
● The details of all the authors have been included in the submission.
● Ethical approval for the study has been sought and explained in the article and an approval number is given but the institution where obtained is replaced by XXX to ensure a blind review.
● The title of the article is on the article submission- see Title page
● The abstract has separately been included in the submission block on the webpage and is also included in the Manuscript.
● The article has undergone a plagiarism check.
● Permission has been obtained from the co-authors to publish the article and to use their names.
● The relevant acknowledgements have been provided at the end of the manuscript.
● As a special request the author is asked to provide the names, place of work, and email contact details of two people who they believe have the skills and expertise to review the article.

Ensuring a blind review

To ensure the integrity of the blind peer review of the submission to this journal, every effort is made to prevent the identities of the authors and reviewers from being known to each other.

It is the primarily the duty of the author to remove any possible identification from the text submitted as indicated below. The reviewer is obliged to keep his/her comments/opinions about the article confidential and communicate these only to the editor; should the reviewer have prior knowledge of or involvement with (incidental or otherwise) the author or the article in question, the editor should be informed of the situation and the situation reviewed if needed.

The editor is the only person who has access to all the information about authors and reviewers. Any issues concerning a review / edit/ authorship / copyright etc. about a SAJOT submission must be brought to the attention of the editor directly – the editor is the only person authorised to deal with these issues and will do so in a strictly confidential manner.
This process applies to the authors, editors and reviewers (who upload documents as part of their review), checking to see that the following steps have been taken with regard to the text and the file properties:

- The authors of the document have deleted their names from the text, and substituted “Author”. This includes ensuring that the names used in the acknowledgements section have also been substituted with an X. Names will be inserted just prior to publication.
- With Microsoft Office documents, author identification should also be removed from the properties of the file.

For Microsoft 2010-2019 (Windows):

- Under the File menu select “Info”.
- Click on the “Inspect Document” icon.
- Uncheck all the checkboxes except “Document Properties and Personal information”.
- Run the document inspector, which will then do a search of the document properties and indicate if any document property fields contain any information.
- If the document inspector finds that some of the document properties contain information it will notify you and give you the option to “Remove all,” which you will click to remove the document properties and personal information from the document.

For MacIntosh Word (and future versions)

- Under the File menu select “Properties.”
- Under the Summary tab remove all of the identifying information from all of the fields.
- Save the File.
- For PDF files:
  - With PDFs, the authors’ names should also be removed from Document Properties found under File on Adobe Acrobat’s main menu.

Continuing education points

CEU points are accredited as follows:
- Principal authors of a scientific article, literature (scoping/systematic/integrative) review: 15 CEUs and co-authors 5 CEUs.
- Principal authors of scientific letters, commentaries, book reviews or opinion pieces: 5 CEU’s and co-authors 3 CEU’s.

2. SUMMARY OF GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

2.1 GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS OF SCIENTIFIC ARTICLES

Articles submitted to the SAJOT must be original and must not have been published elsewhere. Articles should contain new information, add to existing knowledge, resolve controversy or provoke thought and discussion. The content of the article must justify the length, which should be about **16-19 pages** (between **5000-7000 words**).
Abstract and Key Words
The article must be accompanied by an abstract not exceeding 200 words in length. The abstract must contain a succinct structured summary of the study—headings may be used in the abstract (introduction, methodology, results, conclusion). There should be no references or abbreviations in the abstract.

Key words: a list of “key words” which contain words that might be helpful for tracking your article. Try not to ‘repeat’ key words from the title of the article, as this will limit the search opportunities.

Introduction
This should provide a brief rationale for the study and an outline of the aims or questions. The introduction should present a clear indication of the need for and purpose addressed by the article. Authors should not assume that the readers know the context in which the article is set. The content needs to be organised in a coherent and logical manner and may require concise descriptions and definitions of terms to elucidate the content as well as the aim of the study. The literature review may be included in the introduction.

Literature Review
A separate review of the relevant literature can be provided. This should be a critical appraisal of the current relevant literature identifying the limitations in the work already conducted on the subject and a rationale for the study. A maximum of 35 references should be included.

The aim or objectives of the study should appear at the end of the literature review.

Method
The section on research methods should include if appropriate:
- the research design used,
- the population and manner of selecting the population sample,
- the research tools used,
- the method of data collection,
- the methods used to analyse the data including details of the statistical methods, information on validity, reliability, trustworthiness and credibility.
Details of the ethical clearance and informed consent must be provided without the name of the institution at this stage (replace name with XXXX)

Results/Findings
The results must be presented in a way that makes them accessible to the readers and are clearly linked to the aims and methods of the research.

Discussion
The discussion should summarise the main findings and explore the reasons for these. New knowledge must be highlighted, and the limitations of the study given. The implications for occupational therapists and or other health professionals/groups/contexts must be outlined and the contribution that the study makes to the current state of knowledge of the profession/s stated. Limitations must also be discussed.

Conclusion
The conclusion must be brief, drawing the article to a close by relating the results to the aim of the research and indicating the key findings this research has added.

**Acknowledgements and conflict of interests**
All assistance and funding for the research must be acknowledged and any conflict of interests stated.

**Tables and figures**
Articles may include up to eight (8) tables or figures and should be numbered and clearly labelled and included in the manuscript in the appropriate place.

### 2.2 GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS OF SCIENTIFIC LETTERS

Letters submitted to the SAJOT must be original and must not have been published elsewhere. Letters should contain new information, add to existing knowledge, resolve controversy or provoke thought and discussion. Use the outline of the scientific article as a guide.

**Requirements**
The requirements of a scientific letter are as follows:
- The letter must have the same scientific format as an article, but should be much shorter - **1400 – 2500 words**, to fill only a few pages of the Journal but does not have an abstract.
- It may have only **two (2) tables** of results.
- There should not be more than **15 references**.
- It must be original research.

Peer evaluation will take place as with all other articles submitted to SAJOT.

### 2.3 GUIDELINES FOR PUBLISHING AN INTEGRATIVE, SCOPING OR SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Literature reviews including integrative, scoping reviews and systematic reviews submitted to the SAJOT must be original and must not have been published elsewhere. The content of the article must justify the length, which should be about **16-19 pages, with 1.5 spacing (5000-7000 words)**

Follow the PRISMA requirements/guidelines for when submitting an integrative, scoping or systematic review. The manuscript should contain the following:

**Title**
The title must be concise enough to reflect the ‘Population’, ‘Concept’, and ‘Context’ (PCC) of the review, which are the elements of a scoping review used to establish a prior inclusion and exclusion criteria.

**Abstract and Key Words**
Then review must be accompanied by an abstract not exceeding **200 words** in length. The abstract must contain a succinct structured summary of the study- headings may be used in the abstract (background, aim, methods, results, conclusion). There should be no references or abbreviations in the abstract. Key words: a list of "key words" which contain words that might be helpful for tracking your article. Try not to ‘repeat' key words from the title of the article, as this will limit the search opportunities.
Background

The background of the review should be comprehensive and should cover the main elements of the topic, important definitions, and the existing knowledge in the field. An integrative review would identify and organise a combination of diverse methodologies into themes or a framework whereas a scoping review would examine emerging evidence and a systematic review would identify and synthesise existing evidence.

Review question/objective

The review objective(s) must be clearly stated. The objective will guide the scope of the enquiry.

Method

Include the framework on which the review was based. Depending on the framework headings may include –

- Inclusion and exclusion criteria (PCC)
- Search strategy,
- Study selection,
- Extracting and charting the results.
- Validity

Results.

This section should present the main evidence and a summary of the quality of research.

Discussion.

This section should outline the implications of the findings for occupational therapy practice, the methodological limitations of the review, identify gaps in the literature and recommend future action.

Conclusion.

A clear summary of the main findings should be provided.

Illustrations

Articles may include up to eight (8) tables or figures and should be numbered and clearly labelled with their place in the text indicated as a guide to the editor. These must include a diagram of the search strategy as well as a summary of the articles/publications included in the review.

2.4 GUIDELINES FOR WRITING AN OPINION PIECE

Opinion pieces provide authors with the opportunity to express an opinion concerning any aspect of occupational therapy. They are designed to encourage topical debate and the exchange of ideas. Contributors may discuss specific aspects of occupational therapy practice or debate the impact of occupational therapy on the health of people. Opinion Pieces may also deal with health care and relevant social practice/issues in general such as consumer rights that may impact on the profession. They may also debate the impact of the current political and financial climate on the practice of the profession and its ability to meet all in need.

The following provides some guidance:
Focus tightly on the issue or idea — in your first paragraph. Be brief.
Express your opinion, and then base it on factual, researched or first-hand information.
Be timely, controversial, but not outrageous. Be the voice of reason.
Be personal and conversational; it can help you make your point. No one likes a stuffed shirt.
Be humorous, provided that your topic lends itself to humour. Irony can also be effective.
Have a clear editorial viewpoint — come down hard on one side of the issue. Do not equivocate.
Provide insight, understanding; educate your reader without being preachy.
Near the end, clearly re-state your position and issue a call to action. Do not philosophise.
Have verve, and “fire in the gut” indignation to accompany your logical analysis.
Do not ramble or let your piece unfold slowly, as in an essay.
Use clear, powerful, direct language.
Avoid clichés and jargon.
Appeal to the average reader. Clarity is paramount.

1. **Collect research to support your opinion.** Make sure that your supporting statements match the topic. You should include examples and evidence that demonstrate a real understanding of your topic. This includes any potential counterclaims. To truly understand what you are arguing for or against, it is imperative that you understand the opposing arguments of your topic.

2. **Acknowledge the previous opinions or arguments that have been made.** More than likely you are writing about a controversial topic that has been debated before. Look at the arguments made in the past and see how they fit in with your opinion in the context in which you are writing. How is your point of view similar or different from previous debaters? Has something changed in the time others were writing about it and now? If not, what does lack of change mean?

3. **Use a transition statement that shows how your opinion adds to the argument or suggests those previous statements and arguments are incomplete or faulty.** Follow up with a statement that expresses your opinion.

4. **Next, list supporting evidence to back up your position.** It is important to keep the tone of your essay professional, by avoiding emotional language and any language that expresses an accusation. Use factual statements that are supported by sound evidence.

5. **Note:** Any time you develop an argument, you should start by thoroughly researching your opposition’s point of view. This will help you to anticipate any potential holes or weaknesses in your own opinion or argument.

6. **Lastly there must be a conclusion in which you restate your opinion using different words.**

**In summary:** Irrespective of the topic discussed, opinions should be supported by evidence or theory. They should include:
Opinion pieces are subject to the same critical review process as other submissions.

The following references were consulted and the information incorporated into the above guidelines:


Opinions are not necessarily those of the Occupational Therapy Association of South Africa nor SAJOT but never-the-less may provide information for debate.

### 2.5 GUIDELINES FOR WRITING A COMMENTARY

These are similar to opinion pieces, but a commentary is written on a current event or topic by a person with the background to make an informed comment and should report on an issue or topic of interest and relevance to OT practitioners, educators and researchers.

Commentaries usually bring to the attention of the reader new ideas and advances in a particular subject or field of practice. In this case the commentary will compare past practices and new ideas and will point out any research related to it. The commentary may also present criticism of the new in relation to the old or vice versa. Personal experiences with the new can also be presented and add to the discussion. Commentaries do not include original data or the research findings of the author but are dependent on the author’s perspective.

The commentary will also examine the way in which the subject or intervention can be applied to local settings and circumstances and comment on the value that the new idea may have in relation to the past. A final statement or conclusion must be provided ie there must be a “take home” message.

Irrespective of the information being commented upon, commentaries (1400-2000 words) should include:

- An abstract (200 words)
- Introduction
- Coherent body with headings that give structure to the paper
- Recommendations and conclusion
- References (a maximum of 15).

Commentaries are subject to the same critical review process that other submissions undergo.

The following reference was consulted while drawing up these guidelines:

2.6 INSTRUCTIONS FOR BOOK REVIEWS

A book review (700 words) published in SAJOT should be focused on the relevance of the book’s content to occupational therapy, within the South African and African context but also beyond this. It should contain the following information:

- The full title of the book
- A book cover illustration
- Information on the author(s) / editor(s)
  - Qualifications, positions they hold.
  - Their connection with occupational therapy
- Information on the book.
  - Publication date
  - Name of publisher and city of publication
  - ISBN number
  - Price in Rand (ZAR) and formats it is available in; paperback, hardcopy, e-version
  - Number of pages
- The Review
  - Give the context and aim of the book. This is usually in the form of a brief summary of the book.
  - The way in which the content is structured.
  - Discuss the most important aspects of the book. Either in chapter format or themes or as it appears to you. Include short quotes to illustrate, if/ as relevant to the review.
  - Brief discussion on its relevance to occupational therapy, within the African context, and in general.
  - If relevant mention similar books or books along the same theme line.
  - Conclude the review with a professional opinion of the book. The positive and negative aspects thereof.
- Information on the Reviewer
  - Title, name, qualifications, affiliation, and work position at the time of review.
  - Contact details: email
  - Declaration of bias towards the author(s) or any relevant parties mentioned in the book.

3 GUIDE TO SUBMITTING AN ARTICLE ONLINE
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